

# 345th JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

# The Honorable Stephen Yelenosky

### **FACSIMILE COVER PAGE**

From: Claire Webb, Court Operations Officer

Date: 12/15/16

# Of Pages Including Cover Page: 5



STEPHEN YELENOSKY

Judge

(512) 854-9374

CLAIRE WEBB

Court Operations Officer

(512) 854-9712



### 345TH DISTRICT COURT TRAVIS COUNTY COURTHOUSE P. O. BOX 1748 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78767

Filed in The District Court of Travis County, Texas

DEC 1 5 2016 QM.
At U-Obje M.
Velva L. Price; District Clerk

ALBERT ALVAREZ Official Reporter (512) 854-9373

CARRISA ESCALANTE Court Clerk (512) 854-4309

December 15, 2016

Mr. James M. Loughlin Stone Loughlin & Swanson, LLP P.O. Box 30111 Austin, Texas 7875 Via facsimile: (512) 343-1385

Ms. Adrienne Butcher Administrative Law Division Office of the Attorney General of Texas P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-2548 Via facsimile: (512) 320-0167

Ms. Mary Barrows Nichols Mr. Bryan W. Jones Texas Mutual Insurance Company 6210 Highway 290 East Austin, Texas 78723-1098 Via Facsimile: (512) 224-3214 Mr. Matthew Baumgartner Mr. P.M. Schenkkan Graves, Dougherty, Hearon & Moody 401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2200 Austin, Texas 78701 Via facsimile: (512) 536-9913

Ms. Amy L. Saberian
Enoch Kever, PLLC
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 280
Austin, Texas 78701
Via facsimile: (512) 615-1198

Re: Cause No. D-1-GN-15-004940; Texas Mutual Insurance Company, et al vs. PHI Air Medical, LLC; in the 53<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District Court, Travis County, Texas

#### Dear Counsel:

All the arguments have been well briefed and argued by counsel, and I have no additional analysis to offer. By its plain language the ADA applies to air ambulances. Whether it is clear and manifest that Congress intended to preempt a state's workers compensation statute is a more difficult question. And deciding whether McCarran-Ferguson reverse preemption applies is as complex. Ultimately I have concluded that McCarran-Ferguson does apply.

D-1-GN-15-004940

Page 2 of 2

My order follows. This letter is not intended to limit the possible bases of support for that order.

Sincerely,

Stephen Yelenosky Judge, 348th District Court

SY/cw

Original: Velva Price, District Clerk

Filed in The District Court of Travis County, Texas

#### Cause No. D-1-GN-15-004940

§

§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT Velva L. Price, District Clerk

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

§
§
§
53rd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
§
§

PHI AIR MEDICAL, LLC,

Defendant.

TRAVIS COUNTY TEXAS

### <u>ORDER</u>

§

On this day, the Court considered the following: Petitioners' Judicial Review Brief on the Merits and Motion for Summary Judgment; Intervenor Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation's Motion for Summary Judgment; and, Respondent PHI Air Medical, LLC's Combined Motion for Summary Judgment and Response Brief on the Merits. After considering the parties' motions, briefs and oral arguments and the evidence in the record, the Court enters the following rulings:

Petitioners' Motion for Summary Judgment and Intervenor Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation's Motion for Summary Judgment are GRANTED. Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED. Pursuant to those rulings, the Court enters the following declaratory relief: The 1978 Airline Deregulation Act, 49 U.S.C. § 41713, does not preempt the following provisions of Texas law: (i) the Texas Workers' Compensation Act's healthcare provider fee provisions, codified at Texas Labor Code § 413.011; (ii) The Texas Workers' Compensation Act's prohibition on billing injured workers for health care fees, codified at Texas Labor Code

§ 413.042; (iii) the Texas Workers' Compensation Act's authorization to the Division to assess sanctions for administrative violations, codified at Texas Labor Code §§ 415.021–415.025 and 415.031–415.036; and, (iv) the Division of Workers' Compensation's regulations concerning health care provider fees, codified at 28 Texas Administrative Code §§ 134.1 and 134.203.

The Court further considered the Petitioners' judicial review challenge under Texas Labor Code § 413.031 and Texas Government Code, Subchapter G, Chapter 2001, to the Decision and Order of the State Office of Administrative Hearings in Docket No. 454-15-0681.M4, et al., In Re: Reimbursement of Air Ambulance Services Provided by PHI Air Medical, and makes the following rulings: (i) the Court CONCLUDES that no additional payments greater than the 125% of Medicare amounts already paid are due; (ii) The Decision and Order's award of fees equaling 149% of the applicable Medicare rate is hereby REVERSED and these medical fee disputes are REMANDED to the State Office of Administrative Hearings for further proceedings consistent with this Order.

Dated: 15, 2016.

Travis County District Judge